LAW OFFICES

DEC 2 7 2005

**CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

L ERNEST KENNEY EUGENÉ MAR RICHARD E. FICHTÉR THOMAS J. MOORE FRIC S. SPÉCTOR FELIX J. D'AMBEOSIO BENIAMIN E. URGIA\* JUSTIN J. CASSELL JOHN R. SCHAEFER

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

625 SLATERS LANE - FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314-1176 TELEPHONE (703) 683-0500

> FACSIMILE (703) 683-1080 (703) 683-0884

E-Mail mail@baconthomas.com

GEORGE CHUNG CHIN CHEN‡

\* BAR OTHER THAN VA. \* REC. PATENT AGENT

# **FACSIMILE COMMUNICATION**

To:

**Examiner Ortiz** 

Group Art Unit 1732

Pax #:

571-273-8300

Re:

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/809,356

Inventors: Chen-chi Martin MA et al.

From:

Kathy Depasse for Richard E. Fichter

Total pages:

18

Date:

December 27, 2005

Confidential/Privileged

This communication cont ains con fidential information that is intended to be received and read only by the party named as addr essee (listed after "To:" at the left). This communication may contain information that is subject to the att orney/client privilege and/or a court order restricting its dissemination. No one else is entitled to read, use, copy or disseminate this communication or the information contained herein. If you are not the addressee, or the agent of the addressee, then contact us immediately by telephone (collect) or facsimile and arrangements will be made for the return to us of this communication.

Thank you, BACON & THOMAS, PLLC.

## Message:

Attached herewith is a copy of the Official Action, our Response and the date stamped receipt card showing the filing date of September 9, 2005 as requested. If we can be of further assistance, please let us know.

(08Jan04)

# BACON & THOMAS 625 Slaters Lane 4th Ft., Alexandria, VA 22314

PATENT APPLICATION (PENDING)

REN

Serial No: 10/809,356

Filed: March 26, 2004

Attorney: REF

Special Instructions:  The PTO stamp hereon acknowledges receipt of:  Amendment/Response   Missing Parts Response w/Decl.   Request for Refund   Check \$120.00   Appeal Brief (Triplicate)   Issue Fee   Priority Documents(s)   Assignment   Preliminary Amendment   Notice of Appeal   I Mo. Extension of Time Petition   Substitute Declaration   Terminal Disclaimer   Information Disclosure Strint. /references   Reply Brief (Triplicate)   Request for CPA   Sheets of Drawings -   Formal   Informal   Sm. Entity Statements -   Inv.   Sm. Bus.   Non-Profit	Applicant(s); Chen-chi Mart	in MA et al.	Due Date: 9/13/05	MACH3008/REF
The PTO stamp hereon acknowledges receipt of:  Amendment/Response	MAILROOM	ART UNIT	<u> </u>	
Amendment/Response	Special Instructions:			
Amendment/Response	The PTO stamp hereon acknown	owledges receipt of:		
Check \$120.00	Amendment/Response		☐ Request for Refund	
Ssue Fee	Check \$120.00			
□ Preliminary Amendment □ Notice of Appeal □ 1 Mo. Extension of Time Petition □ Substitute Declaration □ Terminal Disclaimer □ Information Disclosure Strunt. /references □ Reply Brief (Triplicate) □ Request for CPA □ Sheets of Drawings - □ Formal □ Informal □ Sm. Entity Statements - □ Inv. □ Sm. Bus. □ Non-Inv. □ Non-Profit	☐ Issue Fee		Assimment -	
□ 1 Mo. Extension of Time Petition □ Substitute Declaration □ Terminal Disclaimer □ Information Disclosure Strunt. /references □ Reply Brief (Triplicate) □ Request for CPA □ Sheets of Drawings - □ Formal □ Informal □ Sm. Entity Statements - □ Inv. □ Sm. Bus. □ Non-Inv. □ Non-Profit	Preliminary Amendment		OIPE	
☐ Terminal Disclaimer ☐ Information Disclosure Strint. /references ☐ Reply Brief (Triplicate) ☐ Request for CPA ☐ Sheets of Drawings — ☐ Formal ☐ Informal ☐ Sm. Entity Statements — ☐ Inv. ☐ Sm. Bus. ☐ Non-Inv. ☐ Non-Profit			∕ €	
□ Reply Brief (Triplicate) □ Request for CPA □ Sheets of Drawings - □ Formal □ Informal □ Sm. Entity Statements - □ Inv. □ Sm. Bus. □ Non-Inv. □ Non-Profit	Terminal Disclaimer			
Sheets of Drawings - Gromal Ginformal  Sm. Entity Statements - Ginv. Sm. Bus. Non-Inv. Non-Profit			SEP 0 9 2005	u.)
Sm. Entity Statements - Inv. Sm. Bus. Non-Inv. Non-Profit				<b>y</b>
Non-Front			Non Profe	,
☐ Communication To:	Communication To:	[100-INV, L	Non-Front	

Filed By: REF/kdd



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Department of Commerce
United States Paleal and Trademark Office
Ablors: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bon 1450
Abloration Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,356	03/26/2004	Chen-Chi Martin Ma	MACH 300R/EM	7553		
23364	7590 06/13/2005		EXAM	INGR.		
BACON & 1 625 SLATER	THOMAS, PLLC S LANE		ORTIZ, ANGELA Y			
FOURTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		1732			

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MACH 3008

Date <u>06/16/2005</u> Atty Ex

Action Duc <u>Response to B.</u>

Deadline <u>09/13/200</u>

	Application No.	ipplicant(s)	
·	10/809,356	MA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above it less than thirty (30) drys, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut or pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	)N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may . I reply within the statutory minimum of ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) Meaning the monication to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  IONTHS from the mailing date of this corn  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und			menis 15
closed in accordance with the practice und	er ex parte Quayre, 1905 C	.b. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.		
, <u> </u>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the fixar		to by the Evaminer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: ε) ☐  Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		n 6 440/-\ (-\ /A	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.(	ر, ع ۱۱۹(a)-(a) or (۱).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nente have heen received		
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur		n Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage
application from the International Bu			_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		not received.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
were an une to the contract of			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervi	w Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9443) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	L159)

Art Unit: 1732

Page 2

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2

- 6 most included to most included in rypol

The cited reference teaches the claimed method of molding a fuel cell bipolar plate (col. 9, lines 67-68) by mixing 60-80 wt% of graphite powder based on the weight the resin (col. 5, lines 45-50), using a temperature range of 80-200°C {=176-392°F} and 500-4000 psi (col. 6, lines 50-52, col. 8, lines 33-40). The graphite powder has a size of 10-80 mesh, wherein less than 10 wt% are larger than 40-mesh, with the remaining having a size of 40-80 mesh (col. 5, lines 15-20).

#### Claims 3-5

The mixture comprises a free radical initiator including perbenzoates, in an amount of 1-10% (see col. 6, lines 15-20 and col. 11, line 68).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/809,356

Art Unit: 1732

### Claims 9, 10, 12-14

The mixture includes shrink control additives, readable on the claims low shrinking agents, in an amount of 5-20% based on the total weight of the additive and the resin system, readable on the weight of the resin (as it is included) - see col. 8, lines 1-24. The mixture also includes rheological agents such as magnesium oxide, readable on tackifiers, in an amount of 1-10%. See col. 6, lines 49-65.

### Claims 15-20

The mixture further comprises a solvent, such as styrene monomer, in an amount of 10-35%, by weight. For claims 15-17, see col. 4, lines 51-63. The resin is preferably a vinyl ester resin, has a low molecular weight of 200-5000 (claim 19), epoxy novolac vinyl resin (claims 18, 20), see col. 4, lines 27-50 and col. 10, lines 60-67).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Over Hands 25 (0006263 A1 in view of Wilson et al. (2006263 A2).

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method of molding a bipolar plate comprising the steps of forming a mixture of graphite powder within a resin to form a homogenous mixture, wherein the mixture comprises 10-90wt% of graphite powder with a size of 25-80 mesh. The method further comprises molding the mixture at 350°F (within the 80-200°C claimed range), and 2000 pounds per square inch (psi) in a second mold.

The cited primary reference does not teach the use of vinyl ester resin.

The added secondary reference teaches as conventional the feature of molding a bipolar plate from a mixture of vinyl ester resin and graphite powder. The powder typically has a size of 80-325 mesh. The reference teaches that the cost of vinyl esters is economical and outperforms polyester and epoxies in toughness and corrosion resistance (see col. 3, lines 42-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use vinyl ester resin in view of the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, for the economical benefit as well as the increased toughness and corrosion resistance.

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

With respect to claims 6-8, note that USP 6,248,467 sets forth as conventional the use of mold release agents added to the resin; these include metal stearates. See col. 4, lines 23-33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known amount as desired, within proportion, as such is well within the level of ordinary skill in the art for achieving a mold releasing effect.

With respect to claim 18, see col. 3, lines 40-45 of USP 6,248,467.

over Butler, USP 6,752,937.

The cited reference remains as applied above.

The cited reference does not specifically state a low shrinking agent of styrene-monomer-diluted polystyrene resin as claimed, and that the solvent is based on the weight of the vinyl ester resin.

The mixture includes shrink control additives, readable on the claims low shrinking agents, in an amount of 5-20% based on the total weight of the additive and the resin system — see col. 8, lines 1-24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the claimed resin as such is equivalent to the disclosed additives, and would yield equivalent results. Note that such resins are conventional in the art and obvious to include for their properties.

With respect to the solvent based on the weight of the resin as claimed, such is readable on the phrase "by weight" as disclosed and would have been obvious to one of

PAGE 8/18 \* RCVD AT 12/27/2005 1:23:25 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:703 683 1080 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-02

Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the weight of the resin it is included. See col. 4, lines 60-63.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP's 5882570; 6217800; 6436315; 2002/0127457; 2003/0044483; 2003/0137073.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

PAGE 9/18 \* RCVD AT 12/27/2005 1:23:25 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:703 683 1080 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-02

Art Unit: 1732

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

ao

				Applicatio 10/809,35	on/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pa Reexamination MA ET AL.	itent Under
Notice of References		s Citea	Examiner Angela O		Art Unit 1732	Page 1 of 1	
				U,S. PATENT DOC			
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name		Classification
$\dashv$	A	US-5,882,570	03-1999	Hayward, Tommle			264/328.18
$\neg$	В	US-6,217,800	04-2001	-layward, Tommie	P.	-	264/29.1
	С	US-6,248,467	06-2001	Wilson et al.			429/39
	D	US-6,436,315	08-2002	Butler, Kurt I.			252/511
	E	US-6,752,937	06-2004	Butler, Kurt I.			252/511
$\dashv$	F	US-2001/0006263	07-2001	Hayward, Tommle	P.		264/29.1
	G	US-2002/0127457	09-2002	Trapp et al.			429/34
	Н	US-2003/0044483	03-2003	Cabak, James E.			425/449
	1	US-			·		
	J	US-					
	К	US-					
	L	US-					
	М	US-					
				OREIGN PATENT	OCUMENTS		
*		Document Number Country Code Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	N	ame	Classification
	N						
	0						
	Р						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Q	i I					
	R	 					
	s						
	Υ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
_		T		NON-PATENT DO		Badla S	<del> </del>
*	<b> </b>	Indu	ide as applicable	: Author, Tille Dale, F	bublisher, Edition or Vol	ume, Pertinent Pages)	
	u						
	_						<u></u>
	V						
_							
	w						
	×						
A cor	py of the	is reference is not being furnished with -YYYY format are publication dates. Cla	this Office action.	See MPEP § 707.05(a).)			
.S. P	aleni en	id Trademark Office		•	e Citod	Dort of Course to	a 20060610
10	-094 (f	Rev. 01-2001)		Notice of Reference	s Alka	Part of Paper N	o, 20000010

PAGE 11/18 \* RCVD AT 12/27/2005 1:23:25 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:703 683 1080 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-02

